Regenerative Therapy 14 (2020) 32-39

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Regenerative Therapy

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/reth

Original Article

Implantation of allogenic umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells improves knee osteoarthritis outcomes: Two-year followup

Jun-Seob Song ^a, Ki-Taek Hong ^a, Na-Min Kim ^a, Jae-Yub Jung ^a, Han-Soo Park ^a, Sang Heon Lee ^b, Yoon Joo Cho ^b, Seok Jung Kim ^{b, *}

^a Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Gangnam JS Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea
 ^b Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 July 2019 Received in revised form 20 September 2019 Accepted 22 October 2019

Keywords: Mesenchymal stem cells Knee osteoarthritis Human umbilical cord blood Allogenic

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Clinical outcomes after the implantation of allogenic human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hUCB-MSCs) in osteoarthritic knees have been rarely reported. Our study aimed to investigate clinical outcomes of osteoarthritic patients who underwent hUCB-MSC implantation.

Methods: In this case series (level of evidence: 4), from January 2014 to December 2015, 128 patients with full-thickness cartilage lesions (International Cartilage Repair Society grade 4 and Kellgren –Lawrence grade \leq 3) who underwent hUCB-MSC implantation were retrospectively evaluated with a minimum of 2-year follow-up. After removing the sclerotic subchondral bone with an arthroscopic burr, 4-mm-diameter holes were created at 2-mm intervals, and hyaluronic acid and hUCB-MSCs were subsequently mixed and implanted in the holes and other articular defect sites.

Clinical outcomes were evaluated preoperatively, 1 year postoperatively, and 2 years postoperatively (minimum) using visual analog scale (VAS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), and International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores. To assess clinical outcomes, patients were divided into two or three groups according to the lesion size, lesion location, number of lesions, body mass index, and age; statistical analyses were performed using these data.

Results: The mean (±standard deviation) VAS, WOMAC, and IKDC scores at 1 and 2 years after surgery including hUCB-MSC implantation improved significantly compared to the preoperative scores (P < 0.001). There were significant differences in the lesion location (P < 0.05). Medial femoral condyle lesions resulted in worse outcomes compared with lateral femoral condyle and trochlea lesions. No adverse reactions or postoperative complications were noted.

Conclusions: Implantation of hUCB-MSCs is effective for treating knee osteoarthritis based on a follow-up lasting a minimum of 2 years.

© 2020, The Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

Abbreviations: AT-MSCs, adipose tissue-derived MSCs; ACI, autologous chondrocyte implantation; BMI, body mass index; BM-MSCs, bone marrow-derived MSCs; hUCB-MSCs, human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; HA, hyaluronic acid; IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; KL, Kellgren–Lawrence; LFC, lateral femoral condyle; MFC, medial femoral condyle; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OA, osteoarthritis; OAT, osteochondral autologous transplantation; VAS, visual analog scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

* Corresponding author. FRCS, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, 271, Cheonbo-ro, Uijeongbu-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea, Fax: +82-31-847-3671.

E-mail address: peter@catholic.ac.kr (S.J. Kim).

Peer review under responsibility of the Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reth.2019.10.003

2352-3204/© 2020, The Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).







1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is a common problem characterized by joint pain, swelling, stiffness, and disability [1,2]. The main problem associated with OA is articular cartilage defect, which has a limited capacity for repair. Because of the lack of effective cartilage repair, it is important to treat cartilage defects early to slow the progression of OA [3].

Autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) and osteochondral autologous transplantation (OAT) are effective therapies for articular cartilage regeneration. However, ACI has some limitations, such as difficult application during two-step surgery, difficulty obtaining a suitable amount of chondrocytes, a slow rate of cartilage cell proliferation, and donor-site pathology [4]. OAT is an attractive option for repairing cartilage defects because it is the only procedure that involves real hyaline cartilage [5]; however, it is limited by its donorsite morbidity, a gap or unevenness, and fibrocartilage formation between the cartilage plug and native cartilage [6].

Recently, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were identified as a new option in the field of cartilage regeneration, and some authors have reported that patients with knee OA treated with MSC implantation showed clinical improvements [3, 7–12].

Few studies on the mechanism of allogenic hUCB-MSCs in humans have been performed [13]. Wang et al. [14] showed that, similar to bone marrow-derived MSCs (BM-MSCs), allogenic hUCB-MSCs have morphological phenotype characteristics including the expression of various phenotypic markers, multilineage differentiation capacity, immunogenicity, and immunoregulatory potency in vitro. Furthermore, Park et al. reported a symptomatic, large osteochondral defect of the knee joint that was repaired using a composite of hUCB-MSCs and hyaluronic acid (HA). Their case report, which included 5-year follow-up, suggested that pain and function were significantly improved and that a composite of hUCB-MSCs and HA hydrogel is safe for treating large osteochondral defects of the knee joint. Nevertheless, clinical results after hUCB-MSC implantation for knee OA have been rarely reported [11, 15].

Allogenic hUCB-MSCs have some advantages over other autologous adult stem cells such as BM-MSCs and adipose tissue-derived MSCs (AT-MSCs). First, compared with BM-MSC implantation, hUCB-MSC implantation is not an invasive procedure and has low donor-site morbidity [16, 17]. Second, hUCB-MSCs can be easily collected and have a high expansion capacity that is greater than that of BM-MSCs [18, 19]. Third, hUCB-MSCs have low immunogenicity in vitro and in vivo [20], and there is no immunological response, even during xeno-transplantation [18]. Fourth, bone formation occurs after BM-MSC implantation, and decreased cartilage repair has been shown with AT-MSC implantation. However, in the study of Park et al. [18], hUCB-MSC implantation in 15 Sprague–Dawley rats resulted in superior cartilage repair without bone formation or degenerative changes in the cartilage for up to 8 weeks. Whether the exact mechanism for cartilage repair with hUCB-MSCs is due to direct differentiation or paracrine effects remains unknown [21, 22]. Nonetheless, we believe that they are more useful than other MSC sources for cartilage regeneration because of their advantages and thus hypothesized that hUCB-MSC implantation is safe and effective for treating OA. Hence, this retrospective study aimed to evaluate the clinical results of hUCB-MSC implantation as treatment for knee OA.

2. Methods

2.1. Patient selection

This study retrospectively evaluated 128 out of 143 patients with knee OA who underwent hUCB-MSC implantation from January 2014 to December 2015 at Gangnam JS Hospital (Seoul, Korea). Inclusion criteria were age older than 40 years, fullthickness cartilage lesion measuring at least 2 cm², femoro-tibial angle (varus or valgus) < 8° in the mechanical axis, and Kellgren–Lawrence (KL) grade between 1 and 3 (CARTISTEM®; hUCB-MSCs were approved for use for KL grades 1–3 only). Exclusion criteria were grade 3 or less as graded by the International Cartilage Repair Society, KL grade 4, ligament injuries (such as injuries of the anterior cruciate ligament and posterior cruciate ligament), previous cartilage surgery, metabolic arthritis, and joint infection. All patients provided written informed consent. This study was reviewed and approved by the public institutional review board of the Ministry of Health and Welfare and was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Preparation of therapeutic mesenchymal stem cells

In this study, we used CARTISTEM®, which is a medicinal product for the treatment of knee OA. CARTISTEM® with hUCB-MSCs for cartilage repair was produced by MEDIPOST (Seongnam, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea), and its therapeutic use for cartilage repair was approved by the Korea Food and Drug Administration in January 2012 [11]. This product contains 1.5 mL of cord blood-derived MSCs (7.5×10^6) and 4% HA.

According to MEDIPOST, CARTISTEM® is made as follows: UCB was collected from maternal umbilical veins during delivery with informed consent and stored at a cord blood bank. hUCB-MSCs were isolated from hUCB and expanded by repeated subcultures [16].

Before implantation, hUCB-MSCs and HA were mixed according to the manufacturer's instructions during surgery. The therapeutic dosage of CARTISTEM® was 500 μ L/cm² for a defect area with a cell concentration of 0.5 \times 10⁷ cells/mL; the defect area was evaluated using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) before surgery.

2.3. Surgical technique and postoperative management

The patient was placed in the supine position on the operating table. Standard anteromedial and anterolateral portals were created. If meniscus problems were found during arthroscopy, then meniscectomy or meniscal repair was performed as needed. Arthrotomy was performed 5 mm medial to the patella; a 4-cm long longitudinal arthrotomy was performed. If there was a lateral-side cartilage lesion, arthrotomy was performed 5 mm lateral to the patella. Damaged cartilage was completely removed, and a ruler was used to measure the height and width of the lesion.

After removing the sclerotic subchondral bone with an arthroscopic burr, main holes were created using a drill bit (MEDIPOST) at 2-mm intervals with a depth and circumference of 4 mm, and smaller holes with a diameter of 2 mm were created between the main holes. Subsequently, HA and hUCB-MSCs were mixed and implanted in the holes and trimmed to the height of the surrounding articular surface (Fig. 1). If the lesion was in the tibial plateau and drilling was not possible, microfracture was performed. We closed the wound at 5 min after implantation. Then, a knee brace was applied.

Patients wore a brace and used a crutch to perform non-weightbearing walking for 8 weeks after surgery. If the lesion was an isolated trochlea lesion, partial weight-bearing was allowed with full extension with a locking brace. On day 4 postoperatively, patients started range-of-motion exercises with a continuous passive motion machine and progressive quadriceps-strengthening exercises.



Fig. 1. (a) A 58-year-old woman had a lesion on the medial femoral condyle and a focal lesion on the medial tibial plateau of the left knee. (b) The damaged cartilage was completely removed, and the sclerotic subchondral bone was removed. Multiple holes with a diameter of 4 mm and 2 mm were created on the medial femoral condyle. (c) After a mixture of hyaluronic acid and human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells was implanted in the holes and trimmed to the height of surrounding articular surface on the medial femoral condyle. (d) Second-look arthroscopy at 15 months after surgery. This was performed during opposite knee surgery.

2.4. Outcome evaluation

The visual analog scale (VAS) scores, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores, and International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores were evaluated preoperatively and 1 and 2 years postoperatively. Patients were divided into groups according to characteristics including age (younger group, younger than 60 years; older group, 60 years or older), body mass index (BMI; not obese group, BMI <25; obese group, BMI \geq 25), number of lesions (single-lesion group, two-lesion group, three-lesion group), lesion location (medial femoral condyle [MFC] lesion group, lateral femoral condyle [LFC] lesion group, and trochlea lesion group), and lesion size of the single-lesion group (small group, <4 cm²; large group, \geq 4 cm²). Then, the influences of these characteristics on clinical outcomes were evaluated. We also investigated complications such as infections and allergic reactions after surgery.

Radiological evaluation included the following: (1) morphological MRI, which was performed in patients who agreed to undergo MRI to assess the status of cartilage repair at 3–6 months and >1 year after surgery, and (2) assessments using the modified Magnetic Resonance Observation of Cartilage Repair Tissue (MOCART) scoring system.

2.5. Statistical analysis

In this study, the independent variables were age, BMI, number of lesions, lesion location, and lesion size; dependent variables were IKDC, VAS, and WOMAC scores. All values were described as mean \pm standard deviation and range. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed to compare the IKDC, VAS, and WOMAC scores preoperatively, 1 year postoperatively, and at the time of the final evaluation postoperatively for all patients. The Mann–Whitney test and Kruskal–Wallis test were used to compare two or three independent variables. After the Kruskal–Wallis test was performed after Bonferroni adjustment of the significance level and a Mann–Whitney *U* test was performed. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY); significance was defined as *P* < 0.05.

3. Results

Fifteen patients were excluded (10 patients younger than 40 years old; 2 patients with small lesions; 1 patient who died of a heart attack; 2 patients who were lost during follow-up) among 143 patients. Therefore, 128 patients were enrolled in this study. There were 86 (67.2%) women and 42 (32.8%) men with a mean age of 56.5 years (\pm 7.9 years; range, 40–78 years).

There were 109 patients (85.2%) with a meniscal problem; 53 (41.4%) of these patients underwent meniscectomy and 56 (43.8%) underwent meniscal repair. Furthermore, 96 (75%) patients with a lesion on the tibial plateau underwent microfracture.

The mean follow-up period was 36.1 ± 6.4 (range, 25-47) months. The mean BMI was 24.6 ± 3.6 (range, 17-45.8) kg/m². The mean sizes of the cartilage lesions of the single-lesion, two-lesion, and three-lesion groups were 4.5 ± 1.3 cm² (range, 2.6-8.3 cm²; 67 patients), 7.3 ± 2.9 cm² (sum of two-lesion site; range,

Patients, n	128
Age, y	56.5 ± 7.9
Sex, female/male, n	86 (67.2%)/42 (32.8%)
Follow-up period, mo	36.1 ± 6.4
Mean BMI, kg/m ²	24.6 ± 3.6
Meniscal problem, n	109
Meniscectomy, n	53
Meniscal repair, n	56
Microfracture of tibial plateau, n	96
Lesions, one/two/three, n (cm ²)	$67 (4.5 \pm 1.3)/49 (7.3 \pm 2.9)/12 (9.8 \pm 3.6)$
Lesion site, MFC/LFC/trochlea, n (cm ²)	$38 (4.3 \pm 1.2)/6 (5.2 \pm 1.0)/22 (4.6 \pm 1.6)$

BMI, body mass index; LFC, lateral femoral condyle; MFC, medial femoral condyle; mo., months; N, number; y, years.

Table 2	
Preoperative and follow-up clinical scores ^a .	

Table 1 Demographic data.

	Preoperative	1-year follow-up	Final follow-up	P ^c	P ^d	P ^e
VAS score ^b	7.0 ± 1.6	2.5 ± 1.7	2.0 ± 2.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
WOMAC score ^b	39.3 ± 12.2	17.2 ± 12.7	13.9 ± 14.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
IKDC score ^b	32.5 ± 8.3	55.8 ± 14.3	61.2 ± 17.2	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

^a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Bold indicates statistical significance (*P* < 0.001). IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; VAS, visual analog scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^b Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

^c *P* value of preoperative score vs. score at 1 year after surgery.

^d *P* value of preoperative score vs. score at final follow-up after surgery.

^e *P* value of score at 1 year after surgery vs. score at final follow-up after surgery.

3.6–20.1 cm²; 49 patients), and 9.8 \pm 3.6 cm² (sum of three-lesion site; range, 4.6–18.6 cm²; 12 patients), respectively. The mean sizes of the cartilage lesions of the MFC, LFC, and trochlea groups were 4.3 \pm 1.2 cm² (range, 3.2–7.1 cm²; 38 patients), 5.2 \pm 1.0 cm² (range, 3.2–5.9 cm²; 6 patients), and 4.6 \pm 1.6 cm² (range, 2.6–8.3 cm²; 22 patients), respectively (Table 1).

IKDC, VAS, and WOMAC scores at 1 year and at final follow-up examination after surgery improved significantly compared to the preoperative scores (P<0.001 for all) (Table 2). Scores at the final follow-up after surgery improved significantly compared to those at 1 year after surgery (P<0.001 for all). Preoperative IKDC scores were significantly different (P<0.05), but other scores at 1 year and the final follow-up after surgery were not significantly different (P>0.05) between the younger group and older group (Table 3).

All scores at 1 year and at final follow-up after surgery were not significantly different between the not obese group and the obese group (P > 0.05) or among the groups with one lesion, two lesions, and three lesions (P>0.05) (Tables 3 and 4). However, among the groups with MFC, LFC, and trochlea lesions, the VAS and WOMAC

scores at 1 year and at final follow-up were significantly different (P > 0.05 for all) (Table 5). Preoperative VAS and WOMAC scores were significantly different between the small lesion group and large lesion group (P = 0.010 and P = 0.019, respectively); however, other scores were not significantly different (P > 0.05) (Table 6). No allergic reaction or infection was associated with hUCB-MSC implantation.

In this study, the data of 34 out of 128 patients were available for the analysis of MOCART score. The first MRI was performed at 3–6 months after surgery (average, 3.8 months), whereas the second MRI was carried out after 12 months (average, 21.2 months). The average modified MOCART score was 30.58 for the first MRI and 55.44 for the second MRI (Fig. 2).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report allogenic hUCB-MSC implantation used for a large sample comprising 128 patients with OA and to analyze the characteristics of patients

Table 3

Comparisons of clinical scores according to age and body mass index^a.

	Age			BMI, kg/m ²		
	<60 (<i>n</i> = 86)	≥60 (<i>n</i> = 42)	Р	<25 (<i>n</i> = 77)	$\geq 25 \ (n = 51)$	Р
VAS score ^b						
Preoperative	7.0 ± 1.6	7.2 ± 1.5	0.458	7.2 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 1.5	0.563
1-year follow-up	2.5 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 1.7	0.944	2.5 ± 1.7	2.6 ± 1.6	0.393
Final follow-up	2.0 ± 2.1	1.9 ± 2.0	0.732	2.0 ± 2.2	2.0 ± 1.9	0.547
WOMAC score ^b						
Preoperative	38.4 ± 12.4	41.2 ± 12.0	0.162	39.1 ± 12.7	39.6 ± 11.8	0.604
1-year follow-up	17.0 ± 12.7	17.7 ± 12.9	0.648	17.6 ± 13.7	16.7 ± 11.2	0.872
Final follow-up	13.6 ± 13.9	14.4 ± 14.7	0.545	14.4 ± 15.4	13.1 ± 12.1	0.979
IKDC score ^b						
Preoperative	33.9 ± 8.2	29.5 ± 7.7	0.005	32.4 ± 8.3	32.5 ± 8.2	0.808
1-year follow-up	56.7 ± 14.4	53.8 ± 13.9	0.278	55.4 ± 14.5	56.4 ± 14.0	0.752
Final follow-up	62.3 ± 17.2	59.1 ± 17.2	0.344	61.6 ± 18.0	60.7 ± 16.0	0.644
Preoperative 1-year follow-up Final follow-up IKDC score ^b Preoperative 1-year follow-up Final follow-up	$38.4 \pm 12.4 17.0 \pm 12.7 13.6 \pm 13.9 33.9 \pm 8.2 56.7 \pm 14.4 62.3 \pm 17.2 $	41.2 ± 12.0 17.7 ± 12.9 14.4 ± 14.7 29.5 ± 7.7 53.8 ± 13.9 59.1 ± 17.2	0.162 0.648 0.545 0.005 0.278 0.344	$\begin{array}{c} 39.1 \pm 12.7 \\ 17.6 \pm 13.7 \\ 14.4 \pm 15.4 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 32.4 \pm 8.3 \\ 55.4 \pm 14.5 \\ 61.6 \pm 18.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.6 \pm 11.8 \\ 16.7 \pm 11.2 \\ 13.1 \pm 12.1 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 32.5 \pm 8.2 \\ 56.4 \pm 14.0 \\ 60.7 \pm 16.0 \end{array}$	0.604 0.872 0.979 0.808 0.752 0.644

^a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Bold indicates statistical significance (*P* < 0.05). BMI, body mass index; IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; VAS, visual analog scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^b Mann-Whiney U test.

Table 4

Comparisons of clinical scores according to the number of lesions^a.

	Single lesion ($n = 67$)	Two lesions ($n = 49$)	Three lesions $(n = 12)$	Р
VAS score ^b				
Preoperative	6.8 ± 1.6	7.3 ± 1.5	7.6 ± 1.7	0.151
1-year follow-up	2.4 ± 1.8	2.6 ± 1.5	3.0 ± 2.0	0.508
Final follow-up	1.9 ± 2.1	2.1 ± 2.0	2.4 ± 2.0	0.428
WOMAC score ^b				
Preoperative	40.2 ± 13.4	38.6 ± 10.3	37.3 ± 13.8	0.848
1-year follow-up	18.6 ± 14.8	15.0 ± 8.6	18.6 ± 13.8	0.723
Final follow-up	14.6 ± 16.0	12.6 ± 11.2	15.1 ± 13.9	0.957
IKDC score ^b				
Preoperative	33.7 ± 8.7	31.1 ± 7.6	31.3 ± 8.0	0.295
1-year follow-up	55.2 ± 15.0	56.7 ± 12.3	55.3 ± 18.2	0.915
Final follow-up	62.0 ± 18.1	60.5 ± 2.2	59.6 ± 5.4	0.695

^a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Bold indicates statistical significance (*P* < 0.05). IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; VAS, visual analog scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^b Kruskal-Wallis test.

during follow-up that lasted a minimum of 2 years. In our study, outcomes were significantly improved clinically and statistically, and the final follow-up results were significantly better than the 1-year follow-up results.

Although we do not know the exact mechanism that led to improvements in outcomes over time, we believe that allogenic hUCB-MSC implantation is an effective treatment for OA. Similar to our study, other studies have reported improvements over time. Gobbi et al. [7] reported that BM-MSCs and a second-generation matrix were transplanted in patients with large full-thickness chondral defects, resulting in VAS scores, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome scores, IKDC scores, Tegner scores, and Lysholm scores that improved over the course of follow-up lasting a minimum of 3 years. Park et al. [11] implanted allogenic hUCB-MSCs and hyaluronate hydrogel (same allogenic hUCB-MSCs as those used in our study) in patients with OA; they reported that pain and function were improved and that significant deterioration did not occur over 7 years of follow-up.

In some in vitro or animal studies, it has been reported that older age negatively affects autologous MSCs such as BM-MSCs and AT-MSCs [23–27]. Moreover, in a clinical study, Kim et al. [9] investigated clinical outcomes, such as IKDC scores, Tegner activity scores, and patients' overall satisfaction, and statistically analyzed factors influencing clinical outcomes after AT-MSC implantation for patients with knee OA (49 patients; 55 knees). Their study showed

Та	bl	e	5

Comparisons	of clinical	scores	according	to	lesion	site ^a
Compansons	of childen	scores	according	ιυ	lesion	site .

	MFC ($n = 38$)	LFC $(n = 6)$	Trochlea ($n = 22$)	Р
VAS score ^b				
Preoperative	6.8 ± 1.7	7.5 ± 1.9	6.6 ± 1.6	0.565
1-year follow-up	2.7 ± 1.8	2.8 ± 2.1	1.6 ± 1.4	0.048 ^c
Final follow-up	2.4 ± 2.3	2.0 ± 2.4	0.8 ± 1.2	0.019 ^c
WOMAC score ^b				
Preoperative	41.6 ± 13.0	41.5 ± 9.7	37.45 ± 15.3	0.437
1-year follow-up	22.0 ± 16.3	20.2 ± 11.6	11.8 ± 10.3	0.019
Final follow-up	18.9 ± 18.6	13.0 ± 13.8	7.2 ± 7.4	0.044
IKDC score ^b				
Preoperative	33.9 ± 8.3	28.0 ± 11.2	35.0 ± 8.4	0.412
1-year follow-up	52.1 ± 15.6	54.7 ± 15.7	61.5 ± 12.2	0.055
Final follow-up	58.0 ± 19.6	63.4 ± 22.0	69.3 ± 11.7	0.061

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Bold indicates statistical significance (P < 0.05). IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; LFC, lateral femoral condyle; MFC, medial femoral condyle; VAS, visual analogue scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^b Kruskal-Wallis test. ^cMFC < trochlea, MFC = LFC, LFC = trochlea (post hoc nonparametric test used with Bonferroni adjustment of the significance level with the Mann–Whitney U test).

that patient age was an important prognostic factor, especially age older than 60 years (P < 0.05). In addition, they suggested that lesion size of 6.0 cm² is the upper limit for obtaining positive outcomes; in another study, they reported that large lesion size (\geq 5.4 cm²) and high BMI (\geq 27.5 kg/m²) were significant predictors of poor clinical and arthroscopic outcomes. In a systematic review of ACI, Pareek et al. [28] reported that older age and increased lesion size (>4.5 cm²) significantly correlated with an increased risk of reoperation and failure.

Obesity is another factor associated with knee OA. Wu et al. [29] extracted BM-MSCs, subcutaneous adipose tissue, and infrapatellar fat pad tissue, and MSCs from obese mice showed decreased chondrogenic potential. They suggested that obesity could change the characteristics of stem cells. Their results indicated that chondrogenic ability was decreased in the obese group.

In our study, we analyzed patients' characteristics, such as age, lesion size, and obesity, to determine whether these factors affected clinical outcomes. Interestingly, there were no significant differences in clinical outcomes based on age, lesion size, and obesity (P > 0.05, respectively). Although we cannot accurately explain this result, we believe that BM-MSCs or AT-MSCs are likely to be affected by age, lesion size, or obesity because those MSCs are directly extracted from a patient's body; however, hUCB-MSCs are allogenic and are extracted from placenta. Therefore, hUCB-MSCs do not seem to be affected by age, lesion size, and obesity.

Table 6

	Lesion size, cm ²			
	Small, <4 cm ² (<i>n</i> = 34)	Large, \geq 4 cm ² (n = 32)	Р	
VAS score ^b				
Preoperative	6.4 ± 1.6	7.3 ± 1.5	0.010	
1-year follow-up	2.0 ± 1.7	2.7 ± 1.8	0.115	
Final follow-up	1.6 ± 2.0	2.1 ± 2.2	0.322	
WOMAC score ^b				
Preoperative	38.1 ± 13.7	42.5 ± 13.7	0.119	
1-year follow-up	15.9 ± 14.4	21.0 ± 15.0	0.085	
Final follow-up	12.5 ± 14.4	16.6 ± 17.7	0.247	
IKDC score ^b				
Preoperative	36.6 ± 8.3	30.8 ± 8.3	0.019	
1-year follow-up	58.3 ± 16.0	52.5 ± 13.4	0.058	
Final follow-up	63.9 ± 16.9	60.5 ± 19.4	0.476	

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Bold indicates statistical significance (P < 0.05). IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; VAS, visual analogue scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^b Mann-Whiney U test.

The hUCB-MSCs that we used in this study are an "off-the-shelf" product. Because UCB-MSCs have a high capacity for expanding and high cryopreservation ability, they can be used "off the shelf" [14]. This feature is an advantage because a certain amount of cells can be transplanted according to the patient's lesion size.

We believe that the amount of stem cells according to the lesion size is important for cartilage regeneration. Jo et al. [8, 30] reported that AT-MSCs were injected in 18 patients with knee OA divided into low-dose (1.0×10^7 cells), mid-dose (5.0×10^7), and high-dose (1.0×10^8) groups; they showed that pain and function were improved by hyaline-like articular cartilage regeneration in the high-dose group. MEDIPOST, which manufactures CARTISTEM®, recommends increasing the dose according to the lesion size of the patient.

In our study, we used a mixture of HA and allogenic hUCB-MSCs supplied by MEDIPOST. Regarding the effects of mixed HA and allogenic hUCB-MSCs, Park et al. [11] showed that the implantation of allogenic hUCB-MSCs with 4% HA in cartilage defects led to improvements in cartilage repair compared with 4% HA only. The results of these animal studies revealed that implantation of allogenic hUCB-MSCs with 4% HA is a possible alternative treatment for repairing cartilage defect.

In addition, our study compared the results of lesion location, lesion numbers, and other patient characteristics. There was no significant difference among groups with one lesion, two lesions, and three lesions (P > 0.05), but there was a significant difference among the groups with MFC, LFC, and trochlea lesions (P < 0.05). The WOMAC and VAS scores of the trochlea lesion group were better than those of the MFC lesion group at 1-year follow-up and final follow-up.

It is difficult to explain why the MFC lesion group had worse outcomes than the trochlea lesion group, but it was probably due to the adduction moment acting on the medial side of the knee. Our study included only patients with knee alignment $<8^{\circ}$; however,

many studies reported that the knee adduction moment increased the medial compartment loading of the knee joint approximately 2.5 times more than the lateral compartment, which was associated with knee joint OA [31–34]. Therefore, if there is an alignment deformity during allogenic hUCB-MSC implantation, we recommend conducting high tibial osteotomy to shift the axial load effectively.

All patients did not agree to undergo postoperative check-up because of the high cost of MRI. Nevertheless, the MRI data of 34 patients indicated an average modified MOCART score of 55.44, which was similar to that of other successful cartilage repair techniques [35].

There were some limitations to this study. First, our study was a retrospective case series without control groups. However, there were differences in indications for allogenic hUCB-MSC implantation and for microfracture or ACI. Microfracture is not appropriate for patients with severe OA or large lesions, and ACI is not suitable for patients with severe OA or large lesions. In Korea, insurance is used only for those younger than 50 years old. However, allogenic hUCB-MSCs were approved for patients with OA, except for those with K-L grade 4. In the future, the use of other stem cells such as BM-MSCs or AT-MSC should be compared to identify the precise effects of allogenic hUCB-MSCs. Although our study did not have a control group, it included a large number of patients, and various analyses were performed. According to the method of Park et al. [11], who first reported allogenic hUCB-MSC implantation, we created multiple holes in the lesion before allogenic hUCB-MSC implantation. However, we do not know how this process affected the results. This study showed only clinical outcomes such as IKDC, WOMAC, and VAS scores; second-look arthroscopy or MRI could not be performed because we did not have any reason to use these invasive or expensive procedures to check the cartilage regeneration status.



Fig. 2. (a) Short tau inversion recovery MRI of a 55-year-old female patient showing International Cartilage Repair Society grade IV defect (arrow) on the medial femoral condyle (b), which is completely filled with repair tissue (arrow) at 13 months after surgery.

5. Conclusions

In our study, we demonstrated improvements in pain and function of patients with knee OA at least 2 years after implantation of allogenic hUCB-MSCs. We believe that the clinical outcomes were not affected by patient characteristics such as age, obesity, and lesion size because allogenic hUCB-MSCs were used. In addition, when performing implantation for MFC lesions, it is desirable to consider the knee alignment of the patient. If necessary, high tibial osteotomy should be performed.

Declarations of interest

None.

Funding

There was no external funding source for this study.

Ethical approval

This study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of the Korea Ministry of Health and Welfare (P01-201807-21-006). All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Data availability

All data included in the manuscript are available upon request.

Author contributions

Jun-Seob Song: conception and design. Ki-Taek Hong and Na-Min Kim: manuscript writing. Jae-Yub Jung and Han-Soo Park: collection of data. Sang Heon Lee and Yoon Joo Cho: data analysis. Seok Jung Kim: developing the concept. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.co.kr) for English language editing.

References

- Lawrence RC, Felson DT, Helmick CG, Arnold LM, Choi H, Deyo RA, et al. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and other rheumatic conditions in the United States. Part II. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:26–35.
- [2] Schuster P, Schulz M, Mayer P, Schlumberger M, Immendoerfer M, Richter J. Open-wedge high tibial osteotomy and combined abrasion/microfracture in severe medial osteoarthritis and varus malalignment: 5-year results and arthroscopic findings after 2 years. Arthroscopy 2015;31:1279–88.
- [3] Koh YG, Choi YJ, Kwon OR, Kim YS. Second-look arthroscopic evaluation of cartilage lesions after mesenchymal stem cell implantation in osteoarthritic knees. Am J Sports Med 2014;42:1628–37.

- [4] Nejadnik H, Hui JH, Feng Choong EP, Tai BC, Lee EH. Autologous bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells versus autologous chondrocyte implantation: an observational cohort study. Am J Sports Med 2010;38:1110–6.
- [5] Minzlaff P, Feucht MJ, Saier T, Schuster T, Braun S, Imhoff AB, et al. Osteochondral autologous transfer combined with valgus high tibial osteotomy: long-term results and survivorship analysis. Am J Sports Med 2013;41: 2325–32.
- [6] Buda R, Vannini F, Cavallo M, Grigolo B, Cenacchi A, Giannini S. Osteochondral lesions of the knee: a new one-step repair technique with bone-marrowderived cells. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92(Suppl 2):2–11.
- [7] Gobbi A, Karnatzikos G, Sankineani SR. One-step surgery with multipotent stem cells for the treatment of large full-thickness chondral defects of the knee. Am J Sports Med 2014;42:648–57.
- [8] Jo CH, Lee YG, Shin WH, Kim H, Chai JW, Jeong EC, et al. Intra-articular injection of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: a proof-of-concept clinical trial. Stem Cells 2014;32:1254–66.
- [9] Kim YS, Choi YJ, Koh YG. Mesenchymal stem cell implantation in knee osteoarthritis: an assessment of the factors influencing clinical outcomes. Am J Sports Med 2015;43:2293–301.
- [10] Feng Hua. Is stem cell therapy the future of orthopedics? Knee Surg Relat Res 2018;30:177-8.
- [11] Park YB, Ha CW, Lee CH, Yoon YC, Park YG. Cartilage regeneration in osteoarthritic patients by a composite of allogeneic umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells and hyaluronate hydrogel: results from a clinical trial for safety and proof-of-concept with 7 years of extended follow-up. Stem Cells Transl Med 2017;6:613–21.
- [12] Qi Y, Feng G, Yan W. Mesenchymal stem cell-based treatment for cartilage defects in osteoarthritis. Mol Biol Rep 2012;39:5683–9.
- [13] Mobasheri A, Kalamegam G, Musumeci G, Batt ME. Chondrocyte and mesenchymal stem cell-based therapies for cartilage repair in osteoarthritis and related orthopaedic conditions. Maturitas 2014;78:188–98.
- [14] Wang M, Yang Y, Yang D, Luo F, Liang W, Guo S, et al. The immunomodulatory activity of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells in vitro. Immunology 2009;126:220–32.
- [15] Park YB, Ha CW, Lee CH, Park YG. Restoration of a large osteochondral defect of the knee using a composite of unbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells and hyaluronic acid hydrogel: a case report with a 5-year followup. BMC Muscoskelet Disord 2017;18:59.
- [16] Gao J, Dennis JE, Solchaga LA, Awadallah AS, Goldberg VM, Caplan AI. Tissueengineered fabrication of an osteochondral composite graft using rat bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Tissue Eng 2001;7:363–71.
- [17] Park YB, Song M, Lee CH, Kim JA, Ha CW. Cartilage repair by human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells with different hydrogels in a rat model. J Orthop Res 2015;33:1580–6.
- [18] Yang SE, Ha CW, Jung MH, Jin HJ, Lee M, Song H, et al. Mesenchymal stem/ progenitor cells developed in cultures from UC blood. Cytotherapy 2004;6: 476–86.
- [19] Schipplein OD, Andriacchi TP. Interaction between active and passive knee stabilizers during level walking. J Orthop Res 1991;9:113–9.
- [20] Erices A, Conget P, Minguell JJ. Mesenchymal progenitor cells in human umbilical cord blood. Br J Haematol 2000;109:235–42.
- [21] Lee M, Jeong SY, Ha J, Kim M, Jin HJ, Kwon SJ, et al. Low immunogenicity of allogeneic human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells in vitro and in vivo. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2014;446:983–9.
- [22] Chen L, Tredget EE, Wu PYG, Wu Y. Paracrine factors of mesenchymal stem cells recruit macrophages and endothelial lineage cells and enhance wound healing. PLoS One 2008;3:e1886.
- [23] Jeong SY, Kim DH, Ha J, Jin HJ, Kwon SJ, Chang JW, et al. Thrombospondin-2 secreted by human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells promotes chondrogenic differentiation. Stem Cells 2013;31:2136–48.
- [24] Baker N, Boyette LB, Tuan RS. Characterization of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in aging. Bone 2015;70:37–47.
- [25] Chang HX, Yang L, Li Z, Chen G, Dai G. Age-related biological characterization of mesenchymal progenitor cells in human articular cartilage. Orthopedics 2011;34:e382–8.
- [26] Choudhery MS, Badowski M, Muise A, Pierce J, Harris DT. Donor age negatively impacts adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell expansion and differentiation. J Transl Med 2014;12:8.
- [27] Mueller SM, Glowacki J. Age-related decline in the osteogenic potential of human bone marrow cells cultured in three-dimensional collagen sponges. J Cell Biochem 2001;82:583–90.
- [28] Wu LW, Wang YL, Christensen JM, Khalifian S, Schneeberger S, Raimondi G, et al. Donor age negatively affects the immunoregulatory properties of both adipose and bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells. Transpl Immunol 2014;30:122–7.
- [29] Pareek A, Carey JL, Reardon PJ, Peterson L, Stuart MJ, Krych AJ. Long-term outcomes after autologous chondrocyte implantation: a systematic review at mean follow-up of 11.4 years. Cartilage 2016;7:298–308.
- [30] Wu CL, Diekman BO, Jain D, Guilak F. Diet-induced obesity alters the differentiation potential of stem cells isolated from bone marrow, adipose tissue and infrapatellar fat pad: the effects of free fatty acids. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013;37:1079–87.

- [31] Jo CH, Chai JW, Jeong EC, Oh S, Shin JS, Shim H, et al. Intra-articular injection of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: a 2year follow-up study. Am J Sports Med 2017;45:2774–83.
- [32] Baliunas AJ, Hurwitz DE, Ryals AB, Karrar A, Case JP, Block JA, et al. Increased knee joint loads during walking are present in subjects with knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil 2002;10:573–9.
- [33] Nha Kyung Wook, Shin Young-Soo, Kwon Hyuk Min, Sim Jae Ang, Na Young Gon. Navigated versus conventional technique in high tibial osteotomy: a meta-analysis focusing on weight bearing effect. Knee Surg Relat Res 2019;31: 81–102.
- [34] Sharma L, Hurwitz DE, Thonar EJ, Sum JA, Lenz ME, Dunlop DD, et al. Knee adduction moment, serum hyaluronan level, and disease severity in medial tibiofemoral osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1998;41:1233–40.
 [35] Anderson DE, Williams RJ, DeBerardino TM, Taylor DC, Ma CB, Kane MS, et al.
- [35] Anderson DE, Williams RJ, DeBerardino TM, Taylor DC, Ma CB, Kane MS, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging characterization and clinical outcomes after NeoCart surgical therapy as a primary reparative treatment for knee cartilage injuries. Am J Sports Med 2017;45:875–83.